

NEW UPPER BOUND FOR THE EDGE FOLKMAN NUMBER $F_e(3, 5; 13)$

Nikolay Kolev *

June 9, 2008

Abstract

For a given graph G let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex and the edge set of G respectively. The symbol $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ means that in every r -coloring of $E(G)$ there exists a monochromatic a_i -clique of color i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. The edge Folkman numbers are defined by the equality

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ and } cl(G) < q\}.$$

In this paper we prove a new upper bound on the edge Folkman number $F_e(3, 5; 8)$, namely

$$F_e(3, 5; 8) \leq 21$$

This improves the bound

$$F_e(3, 5; 8) \leq 24,$$

proved in [5]

Keywords. Folkman graph, Folkman number.

2000 Math. Subject Classification. 05C55

1 Introduction

Only finite non-oriented graphs without multiple edges and loops are considered. We call a p -clique of the graph G a set of p vertices each two of which are adjacent. The largest positive integer p such that G contains a p -clique is denoted by $cl(G)$. A set of vertices of the graph G none two of which are adjacent is called an independent set. The largest positive integer p such that G contains an independent set on p vertices is called the independence number of the graph G and is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. In this paper we shall also use the following notations:

- $V(G)$ is the vertex set of the graph G ;

*Supported by the Scientific Research Fund of the St. Kl. Ohridski Sofia University under contract 90-2008

- $E(G)$ is the edge set of the graph G ;
- $N(v)$, $v \in V(G)$ is the set of all vertices of G adjacent to v ;
- $G[V]$, $V \subseteq V(G)$ is the subgraph of G induced by V ;
- K_n is the complete graph on n vertices;
- $\overline{G}$ is the complementary graph of G .

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs without common vertices. We denote by $G_1 + G_2$ the graph G for which $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup E'$ where $E' = \{[x, y] : x \in V(G_1), y \in V(G_2)\}$. It is clear that

$$cl(G_1 + G_2) = cl(G_1) + cl(G_2). \quad (1)$$

Definition 1. Let $a_1, \dots, a_r$ be positive integers. The symbol $G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ means that in every r -coloring of $V(G)$ there is a monochromatic a_i -clique in the i -th color for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Definition 2. Let $a_1, \dots, a_r$ be positive integers. We say that an r -coloring of $E(G)$ is $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ -free if for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ there is no monochromatic a_i -clique in the i -th color. The symbol $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ means that there is no $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ -free coloring of $E(G)$.

The smallest positive integer n for which $K_n \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is called a Ramsey number and is denoted by $R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. Note that the Ramsey number $R(a_1, a_2)$ can be interpreted as the smallest positive integer n such that for every n -vertex graph G either $cl(G) \geq a_1$ or $\alpha(G) \geq a_2$. The existence of such numbers was proved by Ramsey in [16]. We shall use only the values $R(3, 3) = 6$ and $R(3, 4) = 9$, [3].

The edge Folkman numbers are defined by the equality

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ and } cl(G) < q\}.$$

It is clear that $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ implies $cl(G) \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. There exists a graph G such that $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $cl(G) = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. In the case $r = 2$ this was proved in [1] and in the general case in [14]. Therefore

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ exists if and only if } q > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

It follows from the definition of $R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ that

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = R(a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ if } q > R(a_1, \dots, a_r).$$

The smaller the value of q in comparison to $R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ the more difficult the problem of computing the number $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$.

Among the edge Folkman numbers of the kind $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R(a_1, \dots, a_r))$ only the following ones are known :

$$\begin{aligned} F_e(3, 3; 6) &= 8, [2]; \\ F_e(3, 4; 9) &= 14, [11]; \\ F_e(3, 5; 14) &= 16, [4]; \\ F_e(4, 4; 18) &= 20, [4]; \\ F_e(3, 3, 3; 17) &= 19 [4]. \end{aligned}$$

Only three edge Folkman numbers of the kind $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R(a_1, \dots, a_r) - 1)$ are known, namely $F_e(3, 4; 8) = 16$, $F_e(3, 3; 5) = 15$ and $F_e(3, 3, 3; 16) = 21$. The number $F_e(3, 4; 8) = 16$, was computed in the papers [6], [5]. The inequality $F_e(3, 3; 5) \leq 15$ was proved in [12] and the inequality $F_e(3, 3; 5) \geq 15$ was obtained by the means of computer in [15]. The inequality $F_e(3, 3, 3; 16) \geq 21$ was proved in [4] and the opposite inequality $F_e(3, 3, 3; 16) \leq 21$ in [8]. At the end of this exposition we shall mention that we know only one edge Folkman number of the kind $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R(a_1, \dots, a_r) - 2)$, namely $F_e(3, 3, 3; 15) = 23$, [9] and only one edge Folkman number of the kind $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R(a_1, \dots, a_r) - 3)$, namely $F_e(3, 3, 3; 14) = 25$, [10]. No other edge Folkman numbers are known.

This paper is dedicated to the Folkman number $F_e(3, 5; 13)$.

The best known lower bound on this number is $F_e(3, 5; 13) \geq 18$, which was proved by Lin in [4]. Later Nenov proved in [13] that equality $F_e(3, 5; 13) = 18$ can be achieved only for the graph $K_8 + C_5 + C_5$. Thus if $K_8 + C_5 + C_5 \xrightarrow{e} (3, 5)$ then $F_e(3, 5; 13) = 18$ and otherwise $F_e(3, 5; 13) > 18$. So far nobody was able to check whether $K_8 + C_5 + C_5 \xrightarrow{e} (3, 5)$. The best known upper bound is $F_e(3, 5; 13) \leq 24$, [5].

We consider the graph Q , which was first introduced in [3] and whose complementary graph is given in the picture below.

We shall use the following properties of the graph Q :

$$cl(Q) = 4, [3]; \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha(Q) = 2, [3]; \tag{3}$$

$$Q \xrightarrow{v} (3, 4), [7]. \tag{4}$$

The goal of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem Let $G = K_8 + Q$. Then $G \xrightarrow{e} (3, 5)$.

It follows from (1) and (2) that $cl(G) = 12$. Since $|V(G)| = 21$ we obtain from the Theorem the following

Corollary $F_e(3, 5; 13) \leq 21$.

2 Proof of the theorem

Assume the opposite: that there exists a $(3, 5)$ -free 2-coloring of $E(G)$

$$E(G) = E_1 \cup E_2, \quad E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

We shall call the edges in E_1 blue and the edges in E_2 red.

We define for an arbitrary vertex $v \in V(G)$ and index $i = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_i(v) &= \{x \in N(v) \mid [v, x] \in E_i\}, \\ G_i(v) &= G[N_i(v)] \\ A_i(v) &= N_i(v) \cap V(Q) \end{aligned}$$

Let H be a subgraph of G . We say that H is a monochromatic subgraph in the blue-red coloring (5) if $E(H) \subseteq E_1$ or $E(H) \subseteq E_2$. If $E(H) \subseteq E_1$ we say that H is a blue subgraph and if $E(H) \subseteq E_2$ we say that H is a red subgraph.

It follows from the assumption that the coloring (5) is $(3, 5)$ -free that

$$cl(G_1(v)) \leq 4 \text{ and } cl(G_2(v)) \leq 8 \text{ for each } v \in V(G) \quad (6)$$

Indeed, assume that $cl(G_1(v)) \geq 5$. Then there must be no blue edge connecting any two of the vertices in $cl(G_1(v))$ because otherwise this blue edge together with the vertex v would give a blue triangle. As we assumed $cl(G_1(v)) \geq 5$ then we have a red 5-clique. Analogously assume $cl(G_2(v)) \geq 9$. Since $R(3, 4) = 9$, then we have either a blue 3-clique or a red 4-clique in $G_2(v)$. If we have a blue 3-clique in $G_2(v)$ then we are through. If we have a red 4-clique then this 4-clique together with the vertex v gives a red 5-clique. Thus (6) is proved.

We shall prove that

$$cl(G[A_1(v)]) + cl(G[A_2(v)]) \leq 5 \text{ for each } v \in V(K_8) \quad (7)$$

Assume that (7) is not true, i.e. that there exists a vertex $v \in V(K_8)$ such that

$$cl(G[A_1(v)]) + cl(G[A_2(v)]) \geq 6.$$

Then as there are seven more vertices in $V(K_8)$ with the exception of v , it follows that

$$cl(G_1(v)) + cl(G_2(v)) \geq 13.$$

It follows from the pigeonhole principle that either $cl(G_1(v)) \geq 5$ or $cl(G_2(v)) \geq 9$, which contradicts (6). Thus (7) is proved.

Now we shall prove that

$$cl(G[A_1(v)]) = 4 \text{ or } cl(G[A_2(v)]) = 4 \text{ for each } v \in V(K_8) \quad (8)$$

By (2) we have

$$cl(G[A_i(v)]) \leq 4, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (9)$$

Assume that (8) is not true. Then we obtain from (9) that

$$cl(G[A_1(v)]) \leq 3 \text{ and } cl(G[A_2(v)]) \leq 3 \text{ for some } v \in V(K_8). \quad (10)$$

It follows from (4) that in every 2-coloring of $V(Q)$, in which there are no 4-cliques in none of the two colors then there are 3-cliques in the both colors. Therefore the inequalities in (10) are in fact equalities, which contradicts (7). Thus (8) is proved.

We shall prove that there are at least 7 vertices $v \in V(K_8)$ such that

$$cl(G[A_2(v)]) = 4.$$

Assume the opposite. Then it follows from (8) that there are at least 2 vertices v_1, v_2 in $V(K_8)$ such that $cl(G[A_1(v_1)]) = cl(G[A_1(v_2)]) = 4$. Now we conclude from (6) that all edges from v_1, v_2 to all vertices in $V(K_8)$ (including the edge $[v_1, v_2]$) are red. Since $R(3, 3) = 6$ there is a monochromatic 3-clique in the other 6 vertices in $V(K_8)$ excluding v_1, v_2 . If this monochromatic 3-clique is blue then we are through. If it is red then this monochromatic 3-clique together with the edge $[v_1, v_2]$ forms a red 5-clique which is a contradiction. Thus we proved that there are at least 7 vertices $v \in V(K_8)$ such that $cl(G[A_2(v)]) = 4$.

We obtain from $R(3, 3) = 6$ that there is a monochromatic 3-clique among these 7 vertices sufficing $cl(G[A_2(v)]) = 4$. This 3-clique is red (otherwise we are through). Let us denote its vertices by a_1, a_2, a_3 . It follows from (7) that

$$cl(G[A_1(a_i)]) \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now we have from (3) that $|A_1(a_i)| \leq 2$. Then there are at least 7 vertices in $V(Q)$ from which there are only red edges to a_1, a_2, a_3 . As $R(3, 3) = 6$ and $\alpha(Q) = 2$ it follows that there is a 3-clique among these 7 vertices. If this 3-clique is monochromatic blue then we are through. Therefore it is not monochromatic blue and hence there is a red edge in it. This red edge together with a_1, a_2, a_3 gives a monochromatic red 5-clique.

The Theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to prof. N. Nenov whose important comments improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] Folkman, J. Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **18**, 1970, 19–24.
- [2] Graham R.L. On edgewise 2-colored graphs with monochromatic triangles containing no complete hexagon *J. Comb. theory* **4**, 1968, 300.
- [3] Greenwood, R., A. Gleason. Combinatorial relation and chromatic graphs. *Canad. J. Math.*, **7**, 1955, 1–7.

- [4] Lin S. On Ramsey numbers and K_r -coloring of graphs *J. Comb. theory Ser B* **12**, 1972, 82-92.
- [5] Kolev, N., N. Nenov. An example of 16-vertex Folkman edge (3,4)-graph without 8-cliques. *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, to appear, see http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/0602/0602249v1.pdf.
- [6] Kolev N., N. Nenov. The Folkman number $F_e(3, 4; 8)$ is equal to 16. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **59**, 2006, No 1, 25-30.
- [7] Nenov N. On the vertex Folkman number $F(3, 4)$. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **54**, 2 (2001), 23-26.
- [8] Nenov N. On an assumption of Lin about Ramsey-Graham-Spencer numbers. (*Russian*) *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **33**, 9 (1980), 1171-1174.
- [9] Nenov N. Generalization of a certain theorem of Greenwood and Gleason on three-color colorings of the edges of a complete graph with 17 vertices. (*Russian*) *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **34**, (1981), 1209-1212.
- [10] Nenov N. Lower estimates for some constants related to Ramsey graphs. (*Russian*) *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, **75**, 27-38, (1984).
- [11] Nenov N. On the (3,4)-Ramsey graphs without 9-cliques. (*Russian*) *Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, **85**, 71-81, (1991).
- [12] Nenov N. An example of 15-vertex Ramsey (3,3)-graph with clique number 4. (*Russian*) *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **34**, 1487-1459, (1981).
- [13] Nenov N. On the Zykov numbers and some of its applications to Ramsey theory. (*Russian*) *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Serdica Bulg. math. Publ.* **9**, 161-167, (1983).
- [14] Nesetril J, Rodl V. The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs. *J. Comb Th.* **B20**, 1976, 243-249.
- [15] Piwakowski K., Radziszowski S., Urbanski S. Computation of the Folkman number $F_e(3, 3; 5)$. *J. Graph theory* **32**, 1999, 41-49.
- [16] Ramsey P. On a problem of formal logic *P. Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **30**, 1930, 264-268.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
 St. Kl. Ohridski University of Sofia
 5, J. Bourchier Blvd.
 BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
 e-mail: nickyxy@fmi.uni-sofia.bg